Submission ID: 19379

Hi having watched the hearing on line there are several areas that I would like to comment on.

1, It is very evident that the developers have carried out no due diligence on the impact on the people living within the affected area.

There are two significant areas that need in depth analysis being the health impact and what would be the long term effect on the population within the affected area.

Having ask a few people it is evident that residents are very concerned with long term health and a study of the potential impact is required.

It is now common knowledge that property has become difficult to sell / mortgagers are not being offered and values are falling.

Are we heading for a major reduction in the population due to the proposed plan?

2, The developers are not prepared to cover the cost of decommissioning the site(s). I suspect as they are going to sell the site with planning permission this would put off interested parties. On this basis will the cost be left to our children and children's children to pay for in taxes?

I note that some councils have already had to pay for clean up costs. I understand that the land for the development is going to be on a rented basis so technically will it be the landowners responsibility?

My view it should not be left to potentially companies going bankrupt or "chance" therefore a projected decommunising cost analysis to be independently provided and this figure £ placed in to a non refundable government held escrow account.

- 3, The developers actions are going to completely change the landscape / view across the Trent Valley and offer ridiculous proposals to contra this.
- It would in my view be impossible to disguise the visual impact, as I recall you have already visited the viewing areas across the Lincolnshire Jurassic Ridge and experienced the incredible views
- 4, On numerous occasions the subject of the total impact of the 4 proposed developments came to the forefront. There is lots of good reasons to view elements of these as being one and therefore should be judged as one. The multiplication of effects should be analysed as one and a full independent study is required in key areas.

 Many Thanks